WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Christian Omar Salazar-Delfin		Case Number:	15-6073MJ-01	
was present risk and ord		preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:				
		The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces re Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the U calculated to assure his/her future appea	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imp	risonment.	
Court at the		oted in the record. CLUSIONS OF LAW	Agency which were reviewed by the	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of conditions		earance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correct pending ap order of a c	e defendant is committed to the custody of the ions facility separate, to the extent practicable peal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasocurt of the United States or on request of an all deliver the defendant to the United States Manager APPEALS AN	, from persons awaiting or serving mable opportunity for private con ttorney for the Government, the	g sentences or being held in custody isultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
IT I	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this de	tention order be filed with the Dis	strict Court, it is counsel's responsibility	
District Cou from the da objections v	copy of the motion for review/reconsideration urt. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., exte of service of a copy of this order or after the with the district court. Failure to timely file object.R.CRIM.P.	effective December 1, 2009, Defe e oral order is stated on the recor	endant shall have fourteen (14) days days days within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Ser	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a vices sufficiently in advance of the hearing befind investigate the potential third party custodia	fore the District Court to allow Pr		
DATE:	February 23, 2015		Swilled	
		Unit	Eileen S. Willett ed States Magistrate Judge	